HI beyond the Milky Way

Riccardo Giovanelli Cornell University



Far Above Cayuga's Waters...

Union College, July 2005



Multiwavelength Milky Way



15D^e

902

80°

30°

02

Galactic Longitude

300*

3307

240°

210

180'

270°

arina Nebula

Galactic Components



Very near extragalactic space...

High Velocity Clouds

Accreting Low-Metallicity Gas ?



Credit: B. Wakker

The Magellanic Stream



Discovered in 1974 by Mathewson, Cleary & Murray



Putman et al. 2003



How much of the HI stuff do we detect in the Universe?



WMAP

The Universe is Flat:

 $\Omega = 1$

The current expansion rate is $H_o = 70 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$



less than that...

Do all galaxies have lots of HI?

Morphological Classification







Elliptical vs Spiral

Galaxies can be classified based on appearance

Ellipticals	Spirals
Smooth falloff of light	Bulge+disk+arms
Not forming stars now	Lots of star formation
Dominant motion: random orbits	Dominant motion: circular orbits in disk
Prefer cluster cores	Avoid cluster cores



Morphology-Density Relation

The fraction of the population that is spiral decreases from the field to high density regions.



FIG. 4.—The fraction of E, S0, and S+I galaxies as a function of the log of the projected density, in galaxies Mpc^{-3} . The data shown are for all cluster galaxies in the sample and for the field. Also shown is an estimated scale of true space density in galaxies Mpc^{-3} . The upper histogram shows the number distribution of the galaxies over the bins of projected density.

Disk Formation: a primer

• Protogalaxies acquire angular momentum through tidal torques with nearest neighbors during the linear regime [Stromberg 1934; Hoyle 1949]

• As self-gravity decouples the protogalaxy from the Hubble flow, [l/(d l/d t)] becomes v.large and the growth of I ceases

 \cdot N-body simulations show that at turnaround time values of 1 range between 0.01 and 0.1, for halos of all masses

- The average for halos is I = 0.05
- Only 10% of halos have 1 < 0.025 or 1 > 0.10

halos achieve very

modest rotational support

• Baryons collapse dissipatively within the potential well of their halo. They lose energy through radiative losses, largely conserving mass and angular momentum

 Thus <u>l of disks</u> increases, as they shrink to the inner part of the halo.

$$rac{R_h}{R_{disk}} = m_d \Big(rac{\lambda_{disk}}{\lambda_h}\Big)^2$$

The spin parameter | quantifies the degree of rotational support of a system :

$$\lambda = rac{\omega}{\omega_{circ}} = \Big(rac{\mathcal{L}}{\mathcal{M}R^2}\Big)\Big(rac{G\mathcal{M}}{R^3}\Big)^{-1/2} = rac{\mathcal{L}|E|^{1/2}}{G\mathcal{M}^{5/2}}$$

For E galaxies, I ~ 0.05 For S galaxies, I ~ 0.5 Angular momentum — Mass —

Total Energy

If the galaxy retains all baryons →
m_d~1/10 , and l_disk grows to ~ 0.5,
R_disk ~ 1/10 R_h

[Fall & Efstathiou 1980]



Some galaxies form through multiple (and often <u>major</u>) mergers

The orbits of their constituent stars are randomly oriented

Kinetic energy of random motions largely exceeds that of orderly, largescale motions such as rotation.

These galaxies have low "spin parameter"

Elliptical galaxies



Other galaxies form in less crowded environments

They accrete material at a slower pace and are unaffected by major mergers for long intervals of time

Baryonic matter ("gas") collapses slowly (and dissipatively - losing energy) within the potential well of Dark matter, forming a disk

Baryonic matter has high spin parameter: large-scale rotation is important

Galaxy Exotica









The Mice • Interacting Galaxies NGC 4676 Hubble Space Telescope • Advanced Camera for Surveys

NASA, H. Ford (JHU), G. Illingworth (UCSC/LO), M. Clampin (STScI), G. Hartig (STScI) and the ACS ScienceTeam • STScI-PRC02-11d

The Antennae



Toomre & Toomre 1972



Restricted 3-body problem





A Computer Simulation of the Merger of two Spiral galaxies

Sensing Dark Matter



Just as we use observations of the orbits of stars near the center of our Milky Way to infer the presence of a <u>Supermassive</u> <u>Black Hole...</u>



The M(r) at the center of the Galaxy is best fitted by the combination of

- point source of $2.6 + / -0.2 \times 10^6 \text{ M}$ _sun

- and a cluster of visible stars with a core radius of 0.34 pc and ρ_0 =3.9x10⁶ M_sun/pc³

Astron. & Astrophys. 26, 483-486 (1973

Research Note

Comparison of Rotation Curves of Different Galaxy Types

M. S. Roberts* and A. H. Rots

Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen

Received November 23, 1972, revised April 13, 1973

Summary. Rotation curves extending to large radial distances are now available for 3 spiral galaxies, each of a different type. Differences in shape of the rotation curves indicate a mass distribution that is related to structural type and is in the same sense as the luminosity distribution for these galaxies. The shapes of the rotation curves at large radii indicate a significant amount of matter at these large distances and imply that spiral galaxies are larger than found from photometric measurements.

Key words: galaxies - rotation curves





M31 Effelsberg data

Roberts, Whitehurst & Cram 1978

Milky Way Rotation Curve



The gravity of the visible matter in the Galaxy is not enough to explain the high orbital speeds of stars in the Galaxy. For example, the Sun is moving about 60 km/sec too fast. The part of the rotation curve contributed by the visible matter only is the bottom curve. The discrepancy between the two curves is evidence for a **dark matter halb**.

→ Dark Matter is needed to explain the Milky Way (and other galaxies') dynamics

→ The fractional contribution of the Dark Matter to the total mass contained within a given radius increases outwards

→ The total mass of the Galaxy is dominated by Dark Matter



DISTRIBUTION OF DARK MATTER IN NGC 3198

200 NGC 3198 150 halo V_{etr} (km/s) 100 50 disk 0 20 10 30 50 40 n Radius (kpc)

FIG. 4.—Fit of exponential disk with maximum mass and halo to observed rotation curve (dots with error bars). The scale length of the disk has been taken equa to that of the light distribution (60°, corresponding to 2.68 kpc). The halo curve is based on eq. (1), a = 8.5 kpc, $\gamma = 2.1$, $\rho(R_0) = 0.0040 M_{\odot}$ pc⁻³.

[Van Albada, Bahcall, Begeman & Sancisi 1985]

309



R contribution of each component is plotted. The stellar disk has $(\mathcal{M}/L_B)_{\bullet} = 0.2 \mathcal{M}_{\odot}/L_{\odot}$. The dark isothermal halo has a core radius $r_c = 2.8$ kpc and a velocity dispersion $\sigma = 53.4$ km s⁻¹.

8

18

10

[Cote', Carignan & Sancisi 1991]

A page from Dr. Bosma's Galactic Pathology Manual



[Bosma 1981]

We use HI maps of galaxies to infer their masses, their dynamical circumstances, to date their interactions with companions, to infer their star formation ("fertility") rates...

HI Deficiency in groups and clusters

Morphological Alteration Mechanisms

I. Environment-independent a. Galactic winds b. Star formation without replenishment **II**. Environment dependent a. Galaxy-galaxy interactions i. Direct collisions ii. Tidal encounters iii. Mergers iv. Harassment b. Galaxy-cluster medium i. Ram pressure stripping ii. Thermal evaporation iii. Turbulent viscous stripping





Virgo Cluster

VLA data

[Cayatte, van Gorkom, Balkowski & Kotanyi 1990]



VIRGO Cluster

Dots: galaxies w/ measured HI

Contours: HI deficiency

Grey map: ROSAT 0.4-2.4 keV

Solanes et al. 2002



Galaxy "harassment" within a cluster environment

Credit: Lake et al.



Credit: Moore et al.

Way beyond the stars

DDO 154

Arecibo map outer extent [Hoffman et al. 1993]



Carignan & Beaulieu 1989

VLA D-array HI column density contours

Carignan & Beaulieu 1989



FIG. 14.—(a) Ratio of the local total (luminous and dark) mass to the stellar mass M_{*} and to the H I mass M_{HI} . (b) Complete mass model for DDO 154 using the rotation curve of Table 5. When not indicated, the errors are smaller than the size of the symbols. The contribution of the H I component was calculated using the surface densities of Fig. 9. The total H I mass is 2.7×10^8 M_{\odot} . The stellar disk has $(M/L_B)_{*} = 1.0$, giving a total mass of $5.0 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$. The halo parameters are $r_c = 3.0$ kpc and $\rho_0 = 0.015 M_{\odot}$ pc⁻³. The total mass (dark and luminous) at the last observed velocity point (7.6 kpc) is $3.8 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$.

... and where there aren't any stars



... and then some Cosmology

Perseus-Pisces Supercluster



~11,000 galaxy redshifts: Arecibo as a redshift machine

Perseus-Pisces Supercluster





TF Relation Template



SCI : cluster Sc sample I band, 24 clusters, 782 galaxies

Giovanelli et al. 1997

"Direct" slope is –7.6 "Inverse" slope is –7.8

Measuring the Hubble Constant



TF and the Peculiar Velocity Field

 Given a TF template relation, the peculiar velocity of a galaxy can be derived from its offset Dm from that template, via

$$V_{pec} = cz(1 - 10^{0.2\Delta m})$$

 For a TF scatter of 0.35 mag, the error on the peculiar velocity of a single galaxy is typically ~0.16cz

• For clusters, the error can be reduced by a factor \sqrt{N} , if N galaxies per cluster are observed

CMB Dipole

∆**T = 3.358 mK**

V_sun w.r.t CMB:

369 km/s towards l=264° , b=+48°

Motion of the Local Group:

V = 627 km/s towards | = 276° b= +30°

Convergence Depth

Given a field of density fluctuations d(r), an observer at r=0 will have a peculiar velocity:

 $V_{pec} = \frac{H_o \Omega^{0.6}}{4\pi} \int \delta(\vec{r}) \frac{\vec{r}}{r^3} d\vec{r}^3$

where W is W_mass

The contribution to \vec{V}_{pec} by fluctuations in the shell (R_1, R_2) , asymptotically tends to zero as $R \to \infty$

The cumulative V_{pec} by all fluctuations Within R thus exhibits the behavior :





If the observer is the LG, the asymptotic V_{pec} matches the CMB dipole

The Dipole of the Peculiar Velocity Field

The reflex motion of the LG, w.r.t. field galaxies in shells of progressively increasing radius, shows :

<u>convergence with the CMB dipole,</u> <u>both in amplitude and direction,</u> near cz ~ 5000 km/s.

